



REPORT ON THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT

14.09.2020

Evaluation details

Results of evaluation the overall assessment of project management

Description

The present questionnaire summarizes the results from questionnaire QA09.

The total number of answers to the QA09 questionnaires was **38**, with the following distribution among the different patterns of the SWARM project (P followed by a number stands for the partner number; the university/Institute to which is partner belongs and the country where it is located are specified between brackets)

- P1 (UNI, Serbia): 3
- P2 (BOKU, Austria): 2
- P3 (NMBU, Norway): 1
- P4 (AUn, Greece): 0
- P5 (UACEG, Bulgaria): 1
- P6 (UNIRIFCE, Croatia): 1
- P7 (UL/IST, Portugal): 2
- P8 (UNS, Serbia): 9
- P9 (UNSA, Bosnia and Herzegovina): 7
- P10 (UNMO, Bosnia and Herzegovina): 6
- P11 (UPKM, Kosovo*): 0
- P12 (TCASU, Kosovo*): 5
- P13 (UoM, Montenegro): 1
- P14 (PWMC VV, Serbia): 0

University of Nis



Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

Evaluation of level of involvement

Description

The next table presents the **total number of answers per score category**, according to the **38** replies to the QA09 questionnaire, as previous mentioned. The averages of the **38 answers** to each question were as follows (the equivalent values presented in the QA10 report of September 2019 are presented between brackets)::

- Actively involved in the project development: **4.52** (4.71)
- Satisfied with the implementation of the project activities: **4.78** (4.82)
- Distribution among partners of tasks sharing: **4.76** (4.73)


As previously mentioned, the comparison between the results from Sept/2019 and Sept/2020 reinforces the negligible effect of COVID 19 in the project implementation and development.

The figure after the next table provides the general characterization of the results about **"General participant expectations"**. The result for each partner is the average of the answers provided by that partner.

Table/Figure

General participant expectations – number of answers per category

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Actively involved in the project development		2	1	10	25
Satisfied with the implementation of the project activities				8	30
Distribution among partners of tasks sharing				9	29



Please indicate your suggestions for further project management improvement:

According to the QA09 survey, the only thing required (with less than 30 answers with 5) is to improve the communication between partners as well as the distribution among partners of tasks sharing.

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

University of Nis



**Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders**